

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

This Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed December 22, 2005, and within the three month time period for reply extending to March 22, 2006.

The current status of the claims is summarized below.

5 Claims 1, 5, 11, 15, 21, 25, and 34 are currently amended.

 Claims 2-3, 12-13, 23, 31-33, 42, and 49 are cancelled.

 Claims 1, 4-11, 14-22, 24-30, 34-41, 43-48, and 50-51 are pending in the application after entry of the present Amendment.

10 **Allowable Subject Matter**

 The Applicant acknowledges the Office's indication that claims 1, 4-11, 14-22, 24-30, 34-41, 43-48, and 50-51 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

15 **Rejections under 35 U.S.C. 112**

 Claims 1, 4-11, 14-22, 24-30, 34-41, 43-48, and 50-51 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. These rejections are traversed.

20 Each of independent claims 1, 11, 21, and 34 has been amended to recite that the mapping includes determining a nearest pixel in the image data to a corner location of the clipping region. In view of these amendments, the Applicant submits that each of independent claims 1, 11, 21, and 34 fully satisfies the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 112. Therefore, the Office is kindly requested to withdraw the rejections of amended claims 1,
25 11, 21, and 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112.

With respect to claim 21, the Office has further asserted that a "result of the mapping" is not claimed, and thus represents omission of an essential step of correlating the mapping operation to the operation of transmitting the clipped image data over the network. The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this assertion by the Office.

5 Amended claim 21 recites the following: "a server configured to obtain image data and perform a mapping of at least one clipping region to said image data to obtain clipped image data, the server configured to perform the mapping by determining a nearest pixel in said image data to a corner location of said at least one clipping region, said server further configured to transmit said clipped image data over said network"

10 As indicated above, claim 21 recites that the server is configured to perform a "mapping of at least one clipping region to said image data to obtain clipped image data." Thus, claim 21 recites that the result of the mapping is to "obtain clipped image data." Claim 21 further recites that the server is configured to "transmit said clipped image data over said network." Thus, claim 21 recites that the clipped image data obtained from the
15 mapping is to be transmitted over the network. In view of the foregoing and in contrast to the Office's assertion, the Applicant respectfully submits that because the clipped image data is obtained by the mapping operation and because the obtained clipped image data is transmitted over the network, the mapping operation is in fact correlated to the operation of transmitting the clipped image data over the network. Therefore, the Office is kindly
20 requested to withdraw the above-identified rejection of amended claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 112.

With respect to claim 34, the Office has also asserted that a "result of the mapping" is not claimed, and thus represents omission of an essential step of correlating the mapping operation to the operation of transmitting the clipped image data over the
25 network. The Applicant respectfully disagrees with this assertion by the Office.

Amended claim 34 recites the following: "means on a server for mapping at least one clipping region to the image data to obtain clipped image data, ...

means for transmitting said clipped image data via a computer network from a transmitter on said server without conversion to a receiver on a thin client."

5 As indicated above, claim 34 recites a means on the server for "mapping at least one clipping region to the image data to obtain clipped image data." Thus, claim 34 recites that the result of the mapping is to "obtain clipped image data." Claim 34 further recites a means for "transmitting said clipped image data via a computer network." Thus, claim 34 recites that the clipped image data obtained from the mapping is to be
10 transmitted over the computer network. In view of the foregoing and in contrast to the Office's assertion, the Applicant respectfully submits that because the clipped image data is obtained by the mapping operation and because the obtained clipped image data is transmitted over the computer network, the mapping operation is in fact correlated to the operation of transmitting the clipped image data over the computer network. Therefore,
15 the Office is kindly requested to withdraw the above-identified rejection of amended claim 34 under 35 U.S.C. 112.

In view of the foregoing, the Applicant respectfully submits that all of the pending claims (1, 4-11, 14-22, 24-30, 34-41, 43-48, and 50-51) are in condition for allowance. The Applicant respectfully requests that a Notice of Allowance be issued. If the Examiner has any questions concerning the present Amendment, the Examiner is kindly requested to contact the undersigned at (408) 774-6914. If any additional fees are due in connection with filing this Amendment, the Commissioner is also authorized to charge Deposit Account No. 50-0805 (Order No. SUNMP572). A duplicate copy of the transmittal is enclosed for this purpose.

Respectfully submitted,
MARTINE PENILLA & GENCARELLA, LLP



Kenneth D. Wright
Reg. No. 53,795

710 Lakeway Drive, Suite 200
Sunnyvale, California 94086
Tel: (408) 749-6900
Customer Number 32,291